

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,043	03/22/2004	George W. Ratermann	RMI-003	4080	
3897 759	90 09/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHNECK &	SCHNECK	LEE, CL	LEE, CLOUD K		
P.O. BOX 2-E					
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95109-0005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		
			DATE MAILED: 00/08/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ľ
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/807,043	RATERMANN, GEORGE	W.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cloud K. Lee	3753	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DONA DISION OF THE MAILING DONA DEPLICATION DEPLI	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	farch 2004		
· -		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicati 9)☐ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 09 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a) \(\sum \text{ accepted or b)} \subseteq \text{ o} \text{ o} drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the securing means being threads, the first fitting having threads on an outer surface and the first fitting having threads on an inner surface must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 17-18, 21, 24-25 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buermann (US Patent No. 6,003,714).

Buermann discloses a tamper notification and protection device comprising means (13) for blocking particles from entering the first fitting wherein the means for blocking is a thimble, first and second straps (2 and 3) bendable about the valve and attached the means for blocking the first fitting (see figure 2), first strap fastenable to the second strap (28 and 94), a tab disposed on the first wherein the tab including perforations (19 and 21), an indentation at the strap is bendable (15, 16, 22 and 23), the second strap further comprises a first strap receiver (28).

Regarding claim 28, the modified Buermann discloses the first strap and second strap is bendable at any location along the straps because the straps are bendable everywhere.

4. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oliver (US Patent No. 4,266,813).

Oliver discloses a tapered thimble (see figure 2) having inner (24, 26 and 28) and outer (16 and 18) surfaces with securing means for attachment to a thread.

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buermann (US Patent No. 6,003,714) in view of Flinta et al (US Patent No. 5,386,924) and Oliver (US Patent No. 4,266,813).

Buermann fails to disclose a tapered cylinder having a base and inner and outer surfaces with securing means, and the first strap having a ring.

Flinta discloses a tapered cylinder (see figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered cylinder in order to receive the nozzle as taught by Flinta (see Col 3 lines 11-17).

Flinta discloses the strap having a ring (see figure 2) wherein the ring forming a needle eye shaped (the ring is considered as needle eye shaped). It would have been obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the strap having a ring in order to secure the tapered cylinder to the nozzle and thereby preclude the tapered cylinder from becoming lost at taught by Flinta (see Col 4 lines 60-63).

Oliver discloses a tapered cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with securing means (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with securing means in order to attach the cylinder to any different sizes of thread as taught by Oliver (see Col 1 lines 26-45).

Regarding claim 11, Buermann discloses the cylinder, first and second straps are comprised of plastic (see Col 5 lines 16-19).

Regarding claim 13, the modified Buermann discloses the first strap and second strap is bendable at any location along the straps because the straps are bendable everywhere.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buermann in view of Flinta et al and Oliver as applied to claims 1-15 above, and further in view of Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031).

Regarding claim 16, the modified Buermann fails to disclose the securing means are tangs. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided tangs as the securing because applicant has not disclosed that tangs provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with securing means are threads because Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031) disclose the threads and tangs are interchangeable and similar tangs structure for engagement is suitable (see Col 4 lines 22-29). Therefore, it would have been

an obvious matter of design choice to modify Buermann to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16.

Page 6

7. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buermann (US Patent No. 6,003,714) in view of Oliver (US Patent No. 4,266,813).

Buermann fails to disclose a tapered cylinder having a base and inner and outer surfaces with securing means wherein the surfaces having tangs receiving a thread of the first fitting.

Oliver discloses a cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with securing means (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with securing means in order to attach the cylinder to any different sizes of thread as taught by Oliver (see Col 1 lines 26-45).

Regarding claims 19-20, the modified Buermann fails to disclose the securing means are tangs. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the securing means are tangs because applicant has not disclosed that tangs provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with securing means are threads because Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031) disclose the threads and tangs are interchangeable and similar tangs structure for engagement is suitable (see Col 4 lines 22-29). Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Buermann to obtain the invention as specified in claims 19-20.

8. Claims 22-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buermann in view of Flinta et al.

Buermann fails to disclose a tapered cylinder with securing means, and the first strap having a ring.

Flinta disclose a tapered cylinder (see figure 3). It would have been obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered cylinder in order to receive the nozzle as taught by Flinta (see Col 3 lines 11-17).

Flinta disclose the strap having a ring (see figure 2) wherein the ring forming a needle eye shaped (the ring is considered as needle eye shaped). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the strap having a ring in order to secure the tapered cylinder to the nozzle and thereby preclude the tapered cylinder from becoming lost at taught by Flinta (see Col 4 lines 60-63).

9. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buermann in view of Flinta et al as applied to claims 22-23 and 26 above, and further in view of Oliver and Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031).

The modified Buermann fails to disclose the tapered cylinder having a base includes an inner surface and an outer surface having tangs.

Oliver discloses a cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with securing means (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered cylinder having inner and outer surfaces with

securing means in order to attach the cylinder to any different sizes of thread as taught by Oliver (see Col 1 lines 26-45).

Regarding claim 27, the modified Buermann fails to disclose the securing means are tangs. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the securing means are tangs because applicant has not disclosed that tangs provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with securing means are threads because Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031) disclose the threads and tangs are interchangeable and similar tangs structure for engagement is suitable (see Col 4 lines 22-29). Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Buermann to obtain the invention as specified in claim 27.

10. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver in view of Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031).

Oliver fails to disclose the securing means are tangs. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the securing means are tangs because applicant has not disclosed that tangs provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with securing means are threads because Robbins et al (US Patent No. 6,783,031) disclose the threads and tangs are interchangeable and similar tangs structure for engagement is

Art Unit: 3753

suitable (see Col 4 lines 22-29). Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Buermann to obtain the invention as specified in claim 32.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fleury, Jr. et al (US Patent No. 6,691,732), Richardson (US Patent No. 4,022,343) and Yandle, III (US Patent No. 5,152,314) disclose a similar device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cloud K. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-7206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571)272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you-would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700